Uniform Collaborative Law Act

This Act establishes minimum requirements for collaborative law participation agreements, including written agreements, description of the matter submitted to a collaborative law process, and designation of collaborative lawyers. It requires that the collaborative law process be voluntary. It specifies when and how a collaborative law process begins and is terminated and creates a stay of proceedings when parties sign a participation agreement to attempt to resolve a matter related to a proceeding pending before a tribunal while allowing the tribunal to ask for periodic status reports. The legislation creates an exception to the stay of proceedings for a collaborative law process for emergency orders to protect health, safety, welfare, or interests of a party, a family member, or a dependent.

This Act authorizes courts to approve settlements arising out of a collaborative law process. It codifies the disqualification requirement of collaborative lawyers if a collaborative law process terminates and defines the scope of the disqualification requirement to both the matter specified in the collaborative law participation agreement and to matters related to the collaborative matter. The bill extends the disqualification requirement to lawyers in a law firm with which the collaborative lawyer is associated. It requires parties to a collaborative law participation agreement to voluntarily disclose relevant information during the collaborative law process without formal discovery requests and update information previously disclosed that has materially changed.

The legislation acknowledges that standards of professional responsibility and child abuse reporting for lawyers and other professionals are not changed by their participation in a collaborative law process and requires that lawyers disclose and discuss the material risks and benefits of a collaborative law process to help insure parties enter into collaborative law participation agreements with informed consent. This legislation creates an obligation on collaborative lawyers to screen clients for domestic violence and, if present, to participate in a collaborative law process only if the victim consents and the lawyer is reasonably confident that the victim will be safe and authorizes parties to reach an agreement on the scope of confidentiality of their collaborative law communications.
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Suggested State Legislation

(Title, enacting clause, etc.)

1 Section 1. [Short Title.] This Act may be cited as the “Uniform Collaborative Law Act.”
2
3 Section 2. [Definitions.] In this chapter:
4 (1) “Collaborative law communication” means a statement, whether oral or in a record, or verbal or nonverbal, that:
5 (a) is made to conduct, participate in, continue, or reconvene a collaborative law process; and
6 (b) occurs after the parties sign a collaborative law participation agreement and before the collaborative law process is concluded.
“Collaborative law participation agreement” means an agreement by persons to participate in a collaborative law process.

“Collaborative law process” means a procedure intended to resolve a collaborative matter without intervention by a tribunal in which persons:

(a) sign a collaborative law participation agreement; and

(b) are represented by collaborative lawyers.

“Collaborative lawyer” means a lawyer who represents a party in a collaborative law process.

“Collaborative matter” means a dispute, transaction, claim, problem, or issue for resolution described in a collaborative law participation agreement.

“Law firm” means:

(a) lawyers who practice law together in a partnership, professional corporation, sole proprietorship, limited liability company, or association;

(b) lawyers employed in a legal services organization;

(c) the legal department of a corporation or other organization; or

(d) the legal department of a government or governmental subdivision, agency, or instrumentality.

“Nonparty participant” means a person, other than a party and the party's collaborative lawyer, that participates in a collaborative law process.

“Party” means a person that signs a collaborative law participation agreement and whose consent is necessary to resolve a collaborative matter.

“Person” means an individual, corporation, business trust, estate, trust, partnership, limited liability company, association, joint venture, public corporation, government or governmental subdivision, agency, or instrumentality, or any other legal or commercial entity.

“Proceeding” means:

(a) a judicial, administrative, arbitral, or other adjudicative process before a tribunal, including related pre-hearing and post-hearing motions, conferences, and discovery; or

(b) a legislative hearing or similar process.

“Prospective party” means a person that discusses with a prospective collaborative lawyer the possibility of signing a collaborative law participation agreement.

“Record” means information that is inscribed on a tangible medium or that is stored in an electronic or other medium and is retrievable in perceivable form.

“Related to a collaborative matter” means involving the same parties, transaction or occurrence, nucleus of operative fact, dispute, claim, or issue as the collaborative matter.

“Sign” means, with present intent to authenticate or adopt a record:

(a) to execute or adopt a tangible symbol; or

(b) to attach to or logically associate with the record an electronic symbol, sound, or process.

“Tribunal” means:

(a) a court, arbitrator, administrative agency, or other body acting in an adjudicative capacity which, after presentation of evidence or legal argument, has jurisdiction to render a decision affecting a party's interests in a matter; or

(b) a legislative body conducting a hearing or similar process.

Section 3. [Applicability.] This chapter applies to a collaborative law participation agreement that meets the requirements of Section 4 of this Act.

Section 4. [Collaborative Law Participation Agreement -- Requirements.]

(1) A collaborative law participation agreement must:
(a) be in a record;
(b) be signed by the parties;
(c) state the parties' intention to resolve a collaborative matter through a
  collaborative law process under this chapter;
(d) describe the nature and scope of the matter;
(e) identify the collaborative lawyer who represents each party in the process; and
(f) contain a statement by each collaborative lawyer confirming the lawyer's
  representation of a party in the collaborative law process.

(2) Parties may agree to include in a collaborative law participation agreement additional
  provisions not inconsistent with this chapter.

Section 5. [Beginning and Concluding a Collaborative Law Process.]
(1) A collaborative law process begins when the parties sign a collaborative law
  participation agreement.

(2) A tribunal may not order a party to participate in a collaborative law process over that
  party's objection.

(3) A collaborative law process is concluded by a:
   (a) resolution of a collaborative matter as evidenced by a signed record;
   (b) resolution of a part of the collaborative matter, evidenced by a signed record,
      in which the parties agree that the remaining parts of the matter will not be resolved in the
      process; or
   (c) termination of the process.

(4) A collaborative law process terminates:
   (a) when a party gives notice to other parties in a record that the process is ended; or
   (b) when a party:
      (i) begins a proceeding related to a collaborative matter without the
          agreement of all parties; or
      (ii) in a pending proceeding related to the matter:
          (A) initiates a pleading, motion, order to show cause, or request for
              a conference with the tribunal;
          (B) requests that the proceeding be put on the tribunal's calendar; or
          (C) takes similar action requiring notice to be sent to the parties; or
   (c) except as otherwise provided by Subsection (5), when a party discharges a
      collaborative lawyer or a collaborative lawyer withdraws from further representation of a party.

(5) A party's collaborative lawyer shall give prompt notice to all other parties of a
    discharge or withdrawal, in accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure.

(6) A party may terminate a collaborative law process with or without cause.

(7) Notwithstanding the discharge or withdrawal of a collaborative lawyer, a
    collaborative law process continues, if not later than 30 days after the date that the notice of the
    discharge or withdrawal of a collaborative lawyer required by Subsection (4)(c) is sent to the
    parties:
    (a) the unrepresented party engages a successor collaborative lawyer; and
    (b) in a signed record:
       (i) the parties consent to continue the process by reaffirming the
           collaborative law participation agreement;
       (ii) the agreement is amended to identify the successor collaborative
           lawyer; and
(iii) the successor collaborative lawyer confirms the lawyer's representation of a party in the collaborative process.

(8) A collaborative law process does not conclude if, with the consent of the parties, a party requests a tribunal to approve a resolution of the collaborative matter or any part thereof as evidenced by a signed record.

(9) A collaborative law participation agreement may provide additional methods of concluding a collaborative law process.

Section 6. [Proceedings Pending Before Tribunal -- Status Report.]

(1) Persons in a proceeding pending before a tribunal may sign a collaborative law participation agreement to seek to resolve a collaborative matter related to the proceeding. Parties shall file promptly with the tribunal a notice of the agreement after it is signed. Subject to Subsection (3) and Sections 7 and 8, the filing shall include a request for a stay of the proceeding.

(2) Parties shall file promptly with the tribunal notice in a record when a collaborative law process concludes and request the stay to be lifted. The notice may not specify any reason for termination of the process.

(3) A tribunal in which a proceeding is stayed under Subsection (1) may require parties and collaborative lawyers to provide a status report on the collaborative law process and the proceeding. A status report may include only information on whether the process is ongoing or concluded. It may not include a report, assessment, evaluation, recommendation, finding, or other communication regarding a collaborative law process or collaborative law matter.

(4) A tribunal shall provide parties notice and an opportunity to be heard before dismissing a proceeding in which a notice of collaborative process is filed based on delay or failure to prosecute.

Section 7. [Emergency Orders.] During a collaborative law process, a court may issue emergency orders, including protective orders in accordance with [insert citation], to protect the health, safety, welfare, or interest of a party or member of a party's household.

Section 8. [Approval of Agreement by Tribunal.] A court may approve an agreement resulting from a collaborative law process.

Section 9. [Disclosure of Information.] Except as provided by law other than this chapter, during the collaborative law process, on the request of another party, a party shall make timely, full, candid, and informal disclosure of information related to the collaborative matter without formal discovery. A party also shall update promptly previously disclosed information that has materially changed. Parties may define the scope of disclosure during the collaborative law process.

Section 10. [Standards of Professional Responsibility and Mandatory Reporting Not Affected.] This chapter does not affect:

(1) the professional responsibility obligations and standards applicable to a lawyer or other licensed professional; or

(2) the obligation of a person to report abuse or neglect, abandonment, or exploitation of a child or adult under the law of this state.

Section 11. [ Appropriateness of Collaborative Law Process.] Before a prospective party signs a collaborative law participation agreement, a prospective collaborative lawyer shall:
(1) assess with the prospective party factors the lawyer reasonably believes relate to
whether a collaborative law process is appropriate for the prospective party's matter;

(2) provide the prospective party with information that the lawyer reasonably believes is
sufficient for the party to make an informed decision about the material benefits and risks of a
collaborative law process as compared to the material benefits and risks of other reasonably
available alternatives for resolving the proposed collaborative matter, such as litigation,
mediation, arbitration, or expert evaluation; and

(3) advise the prospective party that:
   (a) after signing an agreement if a party initiates a proceeding or seeks tribunal
intervention in a pending proceeding related to the collaborative matter, the collaborative law
process terminates;
   (b) participation in a collaborative law process is voluntary and any party has the
right to terminate unilaterally a collaborative law process with or without cause; and
   (c) the collaborative lawyer and any lawyer in a law firm with which the
collaborative lawyer is associated may not appear before a tribunal to represent a party in a
proceeding related to the collaborative matter, except as authorized by the Rules of Professional
Conduct.

Section 12. [Coercive or Violent Relationship.]

(1) Before a prospective party signs a collaborative law participation agreement, a
prospective collaborative lawyer shall make reasonable inquiry whether the prospective party has
a history of a coercive or violent relationship with another prospective party.

(2) Throughout a collaborative law process, a collaborative lawyer reasonably and
continuously shall assess whether the party the collaborative lawyer represents has a history of a
coercive or violent relationship with another party.

(3) If a collaborative lawyer reasonably believes that the party the lawyer represents or
the prospective party who consults the lawyer has a history of a coercive or violent relationship
with another party or prospective party, the lawyer may not begin or continue a collaborative law
process unless:
   (a) the party or the prospective party requests to begin or to continue a process;
   and
   (b) the collaborative lawyer reasonably believes that the safety of the party or
prospective party can be protected adequately during a process.

Section 13. [Confidentiality of Collaborative Law Communication.] A collaborative law
communication is confidential to the extent agreed by the parties in a signed record or as
provided by law of this state other than this chapter.

Section 14. [Authority of Tribunal in Case of Noncompliance.]

(1) If an agreement fails to meet the requirements of Section 4, or a lawyer fails to
comply with Section 11 or 12, a tribunal may nonetheless find that the parties intended to enter
into a collaborative law participation agreement if they:
   (a) signed a record indicating an intention to enter into a collaborative law
participation agreement; and
   (b) reasonably believed they were participating in a collaborative law process.

(2) If a court makes the findings specified in Subsection (1), and the interests of justice
require, the court may:
   (a) enforce an agreement evidenced by a record resulting from the process in
which the parties participated;
Section 15. [Uniformity of Application and Construction.] In applying and construing this Uniform Act, consideration shall be given to the need to promote uniformity of the law with respect to its subject matter among states that enact it.

Section 16. [Relation to Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act.] This chapter modifies, limits, and supersedes the federal Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act, 15 U.S.C.A. Sec. 7001 et seq. (2009), but does not modify, limit, or supersede Section 101(c) of that act, 15 U.S.C.A. Sec. 7001(c), or authorize electronic delivery of any of the notices described in Sec. 103(b) of that Act, 15 U.S.C.A. Sec. 7003(b).